

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

The Council are seeking comments on the Proposed Main Modifications to the Core Strategy, following the Examination in Public in March 2015. The changes are proposed by the Council to address issues of legal compliance and soundness and we can only accept representations on these matters.

Comments on the Proposed Main Modifications Schedule are invited from **Wednesday 25<sup>th</sup> November 2015** until **Wednesday 20<sup>th</sup> January 2016**.

### REPRESENTATIONS MUST ONLY RELATE TO THE PROPOSED MAIN MODIFICATIONS.

You can access the Core Strategy documents online and additional copies of this form from our website: [www.bradford.gov.uk/planningpolicy](http://www.bradford.gov.uk/planningpolicy) then 'Core Strategy Proposed Main Modifications', or you may request copies by:

- Emailing us at: [planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk)
- Phoning us on: (01274) 433679

Completed representation forms must be returned to Development Plans, by the deadline below, by either:

- **E-mail to:** [planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@bradford.gov.uk)
- **Post to:** Core Strategy - Proposed Main Modifications  
Development Plans Group  
City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor South - Jacobs Well  
Nelson Street  
Bradford  
BD1 5RW

**ALL COMMENTS MUST BE MADE IN WRITING AND SHOULD BE RECEIVED  
BY THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN GROUP AT EITHER OF THE ABOVE ADDRESSES  
NO LATER THAN 4PM ON WEDNESDAY 20<sup>TH</sup> JANUARY 2016.**

#### Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district. Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.



## Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

### PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

\* If an agent has been appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below and complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Anna	
Last Name		
Job Title (where relevant to this representation)		
Organisation (where relevant to this representation)	resident	
Address Line 1		
Line 2		
Line 3	Bradford	
Line 4	West Yorkshire	
Post Code	BD4	
Telephone Number		
Email Address		
Signature:	Barker	Date: 17 1 2016

### 3. Please let us know if you wish to be notified of the following:

The publication of the Inspector's Report?	Yes	yes	No	
The adoption of the Core Strategy?	Yes	yes	No	

Are you attaching any additional sheets / documents that relate to this representation?	Yes		No	
	No of sheets / documents submitted :			



## Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:	
Date	
Ref	

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**  
(Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

### 4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM18

### 5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

object

### 6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

No idea

### 7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

unsound

### 8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

unjustified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

### 9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to the proposed main modifications).

I object to the further release of green belt in Bradford 4 and feel it is not justified. The green belt area is small and is one of the very few areas of green land separating Leeds and Bradford. Release of green belt in the Westgate Hill/ Fulneck valley would almost create a continuous conurbation between these two large cities. The function of green belt is surely to avoid this. Loss of the green belt at the head of the valley and along the steep valley sides would contribute to more frequent and more severe flooding in the lower Aire Valley than has already been experienced. The Fulneck valley was unable to cope with the torrential downpours in December 2015 and led to considerable flooding of Roker Lane, Troydale, and Farnley Beck and Leeds ring road. To remove the upland fields and replace them with tarmac would be catastrophic when any heavy rainfall occurred.

Holmewood is a very deprived urban area with extreme social problems. To remove the green belt



surrounding the Holmewood estate would further harm this community as all access to the recreational facilities offered within the green belt would be removed.

The services of the area in terms of primary health care provision, educational establishments and transport are already overstretched close to breaking point. To release the green belt to build further houses would create chaos within these already stretched services which currently undertake a sterling job trying to address the huge social problems entrenched in the current Bradford 4 community. This balance is extremely delicate and if further houses are built the current vulnerable/ deprived citizens of Bradford 4 will suffer.

The traffic along Tong Street (A650) is appalling. This is a trunk road into Bradford from the motorway network. To increase the housing along this road will make the entire city of Bradford struggle to move in and out of the city making Bradford isolated further. If transport links are poor and city is disadvantaged for industry and employment opportunities.

**10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.**

**You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.**

The Westgate Hill / Tong Valley building scheme should be shelved and any houses built in Bradford 4 should be infill within the current housing estates.

11. Signature:

Barker

Date:

17 1 2016

**Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.**